

Towards sustainable growth of enterprises: a supply chain design

A. Ardavan^{1,*}, A. Alem Tabriz¹

Abstract

Supply chain design has a crucial role in the prosperity and sustainable growth of enterprises. Network and innovation mutual relationship shapes the orientation and design of the supply chain. Networks are means of securing access to the resources, information and support. A number of studies have examined the relationship between networks and innovation, and show a positive relation between them which results in growth. The contingent nature of networking in the literature makes it a complex phenomenon for an entrepreneur to identify his/her optimal position in the web of networks and master it effectively to provide sustainable presence in the market. This paper examines different types of networking in a broad term and innovation in the context of enterprises and shows the interdependency of networking and innovation. An in-depth review of the extant literature and the grounded theory is applied to develop a conceptual framework for elements of the supply chain by exploring the major layers of networks, innovation and their mutual relationship. Based on this model, several studies are reviewed and this paper offers suggestions in order to understand how the network and innovation reciprocal relationship influences performance.

Keywords: Supply Chain, Networks, SME.

1. Introduction

Competition has increased in today's market. Reaching competitive advantage forces companies to satisfy customers as long as business efficiency (Kannan & Tan, 2005). The competitiveness of the companies will be achieved by timely delivery of products at lower cost. (Tan et al., 2001). Focusing on the supply chain will enhance the performance of the companies (Childerhouse & Towill, 2003). Supply chain management promotes competitiveness of companies in the market (Kannan & Tan, 2005).

* Corresponding Author; ardavan_ali@yahoo.com

¹ Shahid Beheshti University - Faculty of Management and Accounting

The objective of this research is to focusing on the economical aspect of a sustainable supply chain in small and medium sized enterprises in order to design a sustainable supply chain regarding economic growth by exploring the relationship between networking and innovation to reach sustainable growth of SMEs and to present a conceptual model to examine networking and innovation in the context of SMEs supply chain. Within the first section, the role of innovation in SMEs growth is elaborated. Then after defining the innovation, innovative competency is introduced as a construct. In the third section, the relationship between networking and innovation will be discussed. After reviewing different types of networks in the fourth section, four layers of networks are defined. The main contribution of the article is the presentation of different types of networks and its reciprocal relationship with innovation are presented. Based on this section, a conceptual model regarding SMEs supply chain is developed and its elements are discussed. This framework will help to better understand the role of networking on SME growth and the available research in this area is examined in relation to the proposed model.

2. Innovation in SMEs

SMEs are numerous in most economies and bring about employment, innovation and growth. Because of this capacity, governments support them by means of different laws and regulations. In many developed and developing countries, remarkable share of GNP is achieved by SMEs (Jutla, 2002; Poon, 1999; OECD, 1993). An important point is that, factors influencing small firms are disparate from large firms. There should be a distinction between models related to large firms, including network models, and those applied to SMEs. SME business model is not a scaled down of large ones (Storey, 1994; Wynarczyk, 1993; S. Konsti-Laakso, 2012).

Although this arena is nascent, it grabs the attention of researchers in recent years. Innovation, networking and their mutual relationship has been one of the attractive areas in SME research. Despite numerous studies, this field of research has not been developed properly, concerning SMEs (Brown, 1998; S. Gronum, 2012). Some studies show that there are both negative and positive impacts on SMEs growth regarding innovation (Simpson, 2006). Despite the profound literature on networks, the relationship between network and innovation is under scrutiny (Powell, 2005; Siano, 2014). Among several factors that affect innovation in SMEs, is the issue of networking.

It is accepted that innovation plays an important role in the firm and national wide prosperity (OECD, 2001; Holmund, 1998; Kuratko, 1995; Tomlinson, 2013). It has been estimated that more than half of US economic growth is due to entrepreneurial firms (Valry, 1999). To survive in an increasingly global economy with hyper competition, some competitive advantages must be developed and innovation is one venue (McGrath, 1996; B. Schoonjans, 2013). So, innovation forms the basic foundation of SMEs and is the key characteristic that differentiates small and large firms.

Notable studies project the importance of innovation and its undeniable part in SMEs growth. The competitive nature and dynamism of most markets makes it impossible for the companies to not involve in innovation (Salavou, 2004; J. H. Love, 2015). According to (OECD, 2004c), SMEs have shown a key role in national innovation systems. SMEs have the ability to break the status quo situation and bring about new products or services via innovation to the market.

SMEs are capable of presenting new products and services (Timmons, 1998). Although, smaller businesses face obstacles named as liability of smallness (Aldrich H., 1986) and liability of newness (Stinchcombe, 1965), they must improve their competencies to overcome fierce market competition based on their agility and innovation.

3. Innovative Competency in SMEs

Innovation is the implementation of new combinations of different resources in the firm (Schumpeter, 1967; M. D. Parrilli, 2010). Innovation has also been defined as the creation of competitive advantage (Porter, 1999). Hult et al, (2004) defines innovativeness as "capacity to introduce new process, product or idea in the organization".

Based on the definition presented by Hult, innovation is a capacity or competency for new idea generation, and it seems that this definition is simple and comprehensive. So in this article innovation competency is applied as a construct to represent this definition. As it will be presented later, the process of improving innovative competency, especially in SMEs, is sophisticated and the main point in this article is to examine the mutual relationship of innovation and networking.

There are five aspects of innovation within small firms: Product or Service innovations, Market development innovations, Marketing innovations, Process technology innovations, Administrative innovations (North, 2000). Improving innovative competency in these aspects needs delicate management. The question that arises here is whether improving this competency is internal or external. The available researches show that it is rather internal than external.

The quality of available Infrastructure has an important role in creating innovation (Van de Ven, 1993). Small businesses must improve their internal competencies for innovation rather than external circumstances (Forsman, 2011; Griffith, 2003). They should develop in-house competencies to have team work and improving innovation and change culture (Perry, 1995). Human capital as an internal source of knowledge and special expertise is linked to innovation (Freel, 2005). So, one of the competencies that SMEs must enhance is the innovative competency. In other words, improved innovative competency will lead to better positioning in the market. Of course, its positive outcomes will reinforce this competency. This is in line with Chesbrough findings that innovation is not generated independently; instead it is a complex process which is developed through interaction between the firm and external actors (Chesbrough, 2003). Based on this, at the center of the model of this article, as the most important position, innovative competency is considered.

Innovation can be incremental or discontinuous, radical or novel (Tushman, 1986). Radical or novel innovation is related to the SMEs who introduce at least one process/product new to the industry within a three-year period. Incremental innovation is related to SMEs who introduce one new process/product within their company, during the same time (Freel M. a., 2007). Both types of innovation are vital for SME survival and growth. But the radical one is related to the rapid growth and requires a profound innovative competency. Small firms achieve most of their innovative competency through incremental steps than radical steps (North, 2000). There is a close relationship between networking and these types of innovation. Based on internal innovative competency, proper network management will lead to SMEs' growth.

The positive outcomes of innovation are related to employee, market and operational advantages and the negative aspects of innovation are: increasing cost, risk and stress, unrelated to core competency of the firm and idea imitation by others (Simpson, 2006).

Having a clear picture of above mentioned advantages and disadvantages of innovation, the managerial team and/or founder(s) of a SME will select their strategies, especially strategies related to networking, in order to have a sustainable growth. Without considering mentioned disadvantages, networking may waste the limited resources of SMEs in a wrong way and weakens the market presence of the SME.

4. Networking and Innovation relationship in SMEs

Limited resources available for SMEs need a suitable management and exploitation of resources. Networking is a common strategy traced by the managerial team to compensate these limitations. Networking has positive impact on overall performance of the firm concerning innovativeness, growth and survival (Utterback, 1994; Dittrich, 2007; Littunen, 2009). SMEs tend to form strategic alliance with key suppliers or customers (Oakey, 1999; Mazzarol, 2008). To compensate the lack of economy of scale of SMEs (Mohannak, 2007), such networking with key stakeholders helps small firms to have access to the resources such as finance, knowledge and support. Specially, they can get access to the resources that they have no control over (Johannisson, 1986). It also reduces the costs and the risks are shared (Dodgson, 1991). Networks help the SMEs to discard the disadvantage of big size enterprises and make use of their advantages (Nootboom, 1994). Networking may be a continuum of loose affiliations based on informal interactions to more formal or tight structures such as merger and acquisitions (Jarratt, 1998).

Studies show that networking has various effects on innovation (McAdam, 2004; Chandler, 2000; Barnett, 2000; Laforet, 2011). When innovation is at the beginning stages of initiation, because of its openness and variety, the role of networking is very important (Westphal, 1997). Networking provides SMEs the chance to have radical or sustainable innovation (Bos-Brouwers, 2009). On the basis of the above studies, the relationship between networking and innovation is almost undoubted.

How can a small firm open its corporate border to the outside entities and commence a successful networking? This strategy needs intra-competency to scan the environment for information and share it among the personnel (Bougrain F., 2002). In other words, innovative competency of the firm plays a vital role for practicing this strategy and opening the doors to outsiders. Factors such as fear from information leakage, unsuitable partners or distrust of outsiders inhibit some SMEs to incorporate outside help (Dean, 1997). Also, managing the networks will improve this competency in reciprocal way. SMEs should make a balance between their networking and independency to improve innovation in their firms (Kotabe M., 1995). Also, firms with high absorptive capacity tend to network globally, and firms with lower absorptive capacity will network locally (Drejer, 2007; Cohen, 1990).

Customers, Suppliers, local government, financial institutions, employees and national or regional government are the six major groups that form strategic networks of a SME (Jennings, 1997). Customers can help SMEs through new ideas for products, services, processes and cross-functional team working (Laforet, 2009). Networking with universities and suppliers are positively related to process innovation and with customers and public sectors are positively related to product ovation

(Freel M. a., 2007). Also, good relationships with universities and R&D centers have effect on higher innovation performance (Lasgani, 2012).

Based on the literature review, in this research, networking is applied as a broad construct representing all types of external ties that a firm could have, to show the reciprocal or mutual competency in a SME to innovate within intra and inter firm which leads to develop connections and the web of networks. In a synergic positive loop, networking will lead to increased innovative competency of the company. This subject is somehow linked to the term networked innovation (Low, 2007; Swan, 2005). In the model presented in this article, customers, suppliers, local government, financial institutions, universities and R&D centers, regional and international government are embedded. Also, advisors, relatives and friends, brokers and media are added to the model. The role of cultural, political and industrial environment is also considered and will be discussed.

Because of the liability of smallness, SMEs must efficiently use their limited resources to gain more. The picture of the networks considering SMEs is gloomy and complex. The contribution of this article is providing more clear view of networking and innovation relationship. Before that, the literature about the types of the networks is reviewed. Then, the conceptual model is provided to better comprehend the picture and shed light over the ambiguity.

5. Modelling and Data Verification

Grounded theory is the core concept to develop the model and its tools are used for data verification. By applying constant comparative analysis data are collected from the extant literature and analyzed. In this method, the concepts are compared and contrasted with others (Corbin, 1990). Comparative analysis is applied to compare between concept, data and categories to reach levels of abstraction and better conceptualization (Gregory, 2010).

In this research, all the literature is investigated and data related to supply chain design in small and medium sized enterprises pertaining relationship between networking and innovation are gathered. Simultaneously, the collected data are compared and the relationship between data and the defined categories are tested. After all, the related subjects and their relationships based on iteration in the literature are specified and the model is developed.

6. Types of Networks - Exploration vs. Exploitation

There are two type of networks available in the literature, one is the exploration and the other is exploitation (March, 1991). Networks of exploration are related to incremental innovations. The latter is related to radical innovation. In exploitation network high cohesive relationships between partners is reported (Arranz, 2008). As there is a risk to become bounded in the limited chain of strong ties and losing innovation (Caplado, 2007), firms can have two layers of networks, one layer is a large circle of weak ties and a limited groups of strong ones (Freel M. a., 2007).

Cohesion or strong ties provide SMEs a sheltered environment (Williamson, 1983) and bring about resource sharing between firms (Coleman, 1988). Through strong ties the frequency of relations increase and thereby tacit knowledge develops (Dekker, 2004). SMEs that have strong relationships with customers and suppliers have higher innovation performance.

Diversity or weak ties is another aspect of networking that has positive effect on information accumulation and achieving new opportunities (Burt, 2005; Granovetter, 1973). Wider range of

networks supports innovation (Sullivan, 2011). Diversity is a widespread relation with institutions, organizations, people, advisers and so on which may be within industry or beyond that (Lin, 2001; Zahra, 2000). Also, SMEs can fill the gap of structural holes via brokers to access the other sources of information (Burt, 2005). These studies show that the number of strong ties is limited (Alderich, 1989).

There seems to be some contradictions between the functions of the networking for SMEs in literature at different conditions. Some researches indicate that cohesion may have negative or positive effect on innovation and entrepreneurship.

Sequeira et al, (2007) state that strong ties will promote the chance of starting a new business. If strong ties are not limited to a specific industry, geographical position or way of working and provide SMEs with information, opportunities and resources, they could be very beneficial (Kristiansen, 2002). On the other hand, numbers of bridging diverse ties are linked to innovation (Elfring, 2007). One of the notable characteristics of weak ties is low commitment and trust of the involved firms (Granovetter, 1973; Burt, 1992), but because of the reciprocity of strong networks, the level of commitment is high (Krackhardt, 1992). Also, strong ties will help acquire complex forms of knowledge while weak ties will facilitate simple forms of knowledge (Sorenson, 2006).

However, properly managing networks can bring about suitable results for the firms (Kastelle, 2010). To manage network it is necessary to have a deep knowledge about its challenges (Das T.K., 2002). In order to be innovative, it is necessary to repeatedly monitor the networks and change the configuration and members of the network (Lechner, 2003). For example, it might be necessary to change the tie from informal to formal based on contract (Almeida, 2003). By using strong ties as a bridge to reach partners ties, SMEs can turn their strong ties into multiplex ties and make their ties more beneficial (Lowik, 2012).

7. Four Layers of Networks

Within this part of article four layers of relationships which vary between very strong ties and very weak ties are offered:

Very strong tie or contextual tie, is an unwritten strong relationship with a high level of commitment which is somehow in relation with psychological contract. This type of relationship is usually in the context of the culture, keen friendship and relativity. This type of ties are limited in numbers but its force is serious to some extent that neglecting its powerful norms is almost impossible for SMEs with low levels of innovative competency. Its power to hinder innovation is high, but securing support for reaching to the resources is valuable. Considering the duration of the tie, one can say it is long lasting or exit for life time and the redundancy of information is high.

The second type of relationship are *strong tie or contractual tie*, which are in written mode and contractual or legal. This type of relationship has legal liabilities and commitment with more frequency. Contracts with customer/ clients and suppliers and relations with authorities, financial institutions or consultancy agreements with legal advisors are in this mode. The intensity of the obligations is not the same as the previous one, but it may reinforce innovative competency of the SME by providing mutual relationship, information and resources and support. Via contractual ties, firm can make new connections and provide multiplex ties. The duration of the tie, in this mode, is long but it is not the same as the contextual tie. Also the redundancy of the information in this mode is moderate.

The third category is the *weak tie*. In this category, there is somehow written memorandum of understanding usually with the universities, R&D centers, communities and NGOs. The level of commitment is lower than the above mentioned ties but the frequency is higher. This type of relationship will provide information and resources for the company but the level of support is lower. The need to face to face communication is lower than contractual ties and duration of the tie is short. Innovative capacity may increase with this sort of tie and the redundancy of information is low.

The last group of ties is *very weak tie*. They are not written, without any obligation, and may happen through media or brokers. There is no commitment, with high level of frequency and the lowest level of redundancy. This type of connection provides intelligence for the company to be adjusted in the market.

This continuum is not rigid and is compelled to change. Of course, modification in conceptual ties is almost impossible but other types of networks such as weak ties may change to strong or vice versa. Effective transition from one tie to another is related to internal capability of the firm, consisting of managerial team and/or founder(s) and employees. Via proper transition, outcome of new web of networks will provide useful information, support or resources that reinforce innovative competency in the firm and will result in better performance and prosperity of the SME. As mentioned earlier, network management is an important role of SMEs. In figure 1, the summary of different networking ties is depicted.




Figure1. Different types of ties

Based on above mentioned categories, this study provides a conceptual model showing different actors in the network of the SME, their type of tie and their relative importance. This conceptual model is not rigid and may be exposed to modification based on various conditions. This model is based on available literature on the networking in SMEs.

8. Literature Gap

As mentioned above this study leans upon the different layers of networks comprising of very strong ties, strong ties, weak ties and very weak ties. Also, there are different sources of innovation including customers, suppliers, local government, financial institutions, universities and R&D centers, regional and international government, advisors, relatives and friends, brokers and media. As shown in table 1, there are different studies that covers some aspects of this model. The contribution of this study is to provide a wide spectrum and in-depth view of the different rolls of the actors in the relationship between networking and innovation. The gray parts of the table depict the relationship between the row and the column in the table.

Table 1: Networking and Innovation Relationship in Different Studies

		Layers of Networks			
		Very Strong Tie	Strong Tie	Weak Tie	Very Weak Tie
Sources of Innovation	Customers		(Xu, Z, 2008); (Aidis, 2008); (B. Schoonjans, 2013); (Bos-Brouwers, 2009); (Caplado, 2007); (Dittrich, 2007); (Gilsing, 2008); (Laforet S., 2009); (Lasgani, 2012); (Lowik, 2012); (M. D. Parrilli, 2010); (S. Konsti-Laakso, 2012); (Sullivan, 2011); (Weber, 2007)		
	Suppliers		(Xu, Z, 2008); (Aidis, 2008); (B. Schoonjans, 2013); (Bos-Brouwers, 2009); (Caplado, 2007); (Dittrich, 2007); (Gilsing, 2008); (Laforet S., 2009); (Lasgani, 2012); (Lowik, 2012); (M. D. Parrilli, 2010); (S. Konsti-Laakso, 2012); (Sullivan, 2011); (Weber, 2007)		
	Local Government		(Xu, Z, 2008); (Aidis, 2008); (B. Schoonjans, 2013); (Bos-Brouwers, 2009); (Caplado, 2007); (Dittrich, 2007); (Gilsing, 2008); (Laforet S., 2009); (Lasgani, 2012); (Lowik, 2012); (M. D. Parrilli, 2010); (S. Konsti-Laakso, 2012); (Sullivan, 2011); (Weber, 2007)		
	Financial Institutions		(Xu, Z, 2008); (Aidis, 2008); (B. Schoonjans, 2013); (Bos-Brouwers, 2009); (Caplado, 2007); (Dittrich, 2007); (Gilsing, 2008); (Laforet S., 2009); (Lasgani, 2012); (Lowik, 2012); (M. D. Parrilli, 2010)		
	Universities and R&D Centers			(Bergenholtz, 2011); (Huggins, 2010); (Arranz, 2008); (Bierly P.E., 2007)	
	Regional and International Government		(Xu, Z, 2008); (Aidis, 2008); (B. Schoonjans, 2013); (Bos-Brouwers, 2009); (Caplado, 2007); (Collinson, 2005); (J. H. Love, 2015); (Laforet S., 2011); (Mughan, 2004); (Siano, 2014)		
	Advisors		(Xu, Z, 2008); (Aidis, 2008); (B. Schoonjans, 2013); (Bos-Brouwers, 2009); (Caplado, 2007); (Laforet S., 2011); (Mughan, 2004);		
	Relatives and Friends	(Alderich, 1989); (Deakins, 2007)			
	Brokers				(Baron, 2009); (De Carolis, 2006); (Laforet S. a., 2006); (Mazzarol, 2008); (Sequeira, 2007)
	Media				(Baron, 2009); (De Carolis, 2006); (Laforet S. a., 2006); (Mazzarol, 2008); (Sequeira, 2007)

9. Conceptual Model for Networking and Innovation in SMEs Supply Chain:

Figure 2 shows the innovative competency of the firm based on its networking capabilities. As it is shown in this figure, a complete set of relationships with inner and outer constituents make up the networking capabilities of the firm. Placing founders and employees in the center of the innovative competency of the firm, various constituents can have strong or weak ties. Strong ties have been built with advisors, government, financial institutions, customers and suppliers, while weak ties have been formed with universities, media and brokers. On the basis of the literature, elements of the model are discussed below.




Figure2. A conceptual multiplex for networking and innovation in SMEs

9.1. Cultural, Political and Industrial Environment

On the outer side of this relationship model, firms are affected by elements of the cultural, political and industrial environment, which will be discussed in the following paragraphs:

When talking about *culture*, the culture that dominates the environment that SME acts within is targeted. Cognitive Social Capital is related to culture which SMEs act on. Cognitive Social Capital is a system of meanings which is shared between actors and enables them to comprehend the information they receive (De Carolis, 2006).

Because of the strong ties and severe norms that lie beneath, cognitive social capital may hamper flow of new information, innovation and entrepreneurship (Portes, 1993; Jack, 2005). Also, studies show that ethnic and minorities' strong ties will help entrepreneurs get access to new ideas (Deakins, 2007). If the innovative competency of small firms improves they can use the positive aspect of the binding culture and discard negative effects. This process needs in-house social skills (Baron, 2009).

Political environment of a nation will have direct impact on the performance of SMEs. Small firms, due to the lack of resources, finance and information, try to create strong connections to the authorities to have access to the immediate and lean strategic resources. This process is called network capitalism (Puffer, 2007). In some countries, at times of economic deregulation, political connection and favoritism may serve as important source of achieving resources (Aidis, 2008; Tsai, 2005). Strong ties with government in such nations will help firms get better access to financial resources (Bartjargal, 2004).

Industrial environment also has a direct impact on SME innovative competencies. Studies show that industry concentration with its entry barriers will have effects on innovation (Salavou, 2004). Also, competition deficiency will hinder innovation (Kraft, 1989). Firms in a turbulent and competitive environment tend to be more innovative (O'Regan, 2005).

As shown in the model, SME's environment is like an umbrella in which the firm operates under its cover. The type of connections is very strong or contextual ties as discussed previously, almost unwritten with high level of commitment. This force may impose the SME to exit the market because of its binding effect and little propensity to change and lack of necessary information and resources to adjust the company in the market.

The environment and its adjoining elements, can work in favor or against the wishes of the firms. As such knowing the elements of the environment and adjusting its activities on the basis of its proven capabilities can serve as an important issue.

9.2. SME corporate border

Within SME, there are managerial team and/or founder(s) and employees. The internal culture and the characteristics of founders, board of directors and personnel will impact the innovative competency of the firm. Some characteristics of an entrepreneur as founder of SME that have impact on the performance of the firm are: internal locus of control (Amit, 1993), risk taking (Casson, 1982), leadership (Peters, 1987) and the need for achievement (Johnson, 1990). Ray (1993) indicates that personality, experience and skills of an entrepreneur are related to their success (Ray, 1993). Graduate founders tend to be more entrepreneurial (Harding, 2003). SMEs top management can be a source of challenge for the firm if they are managed by their owners and may impede innovativeness (Bougrain, 2002; Dobbs, 2007; North D. a., 2000).

SME internationalization is also related to managerial competencies and networks (Bell, 1998). As mentioned earlier, it has been advised that SMEs should concentrate on internal innovative project instead of external ones. Success within internal innovative projects will provide a better atmosphere for outside projects. CEOs' commitment to innovation and research and promoting innovative culture is strongly connected to organization-wide innovation (Motwani, 1999). There is a negative relationship between formalization and centralization with innovation (Waarts, 2005). So the type of organizing and having competent personnel will help improve innovation.

When talking about innovative competency as a construct, collaborative structure of the firm with delicate process integration to share knowledge within the organization is the goal. Intra-organizational cooperation and open culture, maximizing communication efficiency, job rotation and empowerment have positive relation to innovation (Chandler, 2000; Jung, 2003; Hoffman, 1998; Siqueira, 2008). Firm's ability in utilizing human capital has a strong impact on innovation (Freel M. , 2005). Higher educated personnel and their willingness toward growth are linked to innovation (Gray, 2006). The expertise and experience of the employees is related to innovation (Dimov, 2005). Lack of competent employee is certainly a barrier towards innovation (Scott, 1996; Laforet S. a., 2006).

Leadership style is very important in the context of innovation (Van de Ven A. , 1986; Schin, 1998). Also, team of founders is usually more innovative than just sole founder (Ruef, 2002).

SMEs with high internal innovative competency can make better use of their external networks. This competency will help them manage networks to compensate resource deficiency. In this model, SME corporate border is shown as a big circle to show its important role and impact. It is an infrastructure for the SME to cope with ever changing environment.

SMEs need to continually cope with an ever changing environment in refer to better utilize and manage their networks for continuous innovation.

9.3. SME virtual border

Dotted circle around the circumference of the SME shows the constituents that have direct connection via very strong, strong or weak ties with the SME. Within this virtual border, there are customers, suppliers, financial institutions, universities and R&D centers, local government, advisors, and relatives and friends. As mentioned before strong relationships with both suppliers and customers will have benefit for the company's innovative competency.

The model tries to show the importance of each constituent using the size of the circles and the kind of arrows. Bi-directional arrows demonstrate interconnectedness of both networking and innovation. Small business networks to innovate, and based on occurred learning, they reconsider their networks.

One superior competency that a SME should acquire is the ability to chain others' strong ties to its networks to get better access to the resources. This is called multiplex ties in the literature and some of its elements have been discussed before.

Here the concentration is on the customer and supplier relationships and also on the impact of friends and relatives network on the growth of SME.

Innovative firms collaborate with their suppliers (Koschatzky, 1999). Suppliers in the supply chain management have access to different valuable information and support so SMEs should develop their competency to have access to this type of information and support. Having competency in cooperation will enhance the innovation power of the firm (Sivadas, 2000). To maintain control over handling numerous ties with suppliers, it has been suggested that a limited number of suppliers should be networked (Fowles, 2005). Schiele (2006) suggests that suppliers must be selected according to their level of innovativeness. Also, close collaboration with suppliers in NPD will bring about radical innovation (Phillips, 2006).

Of course, SMEs may not have a lot of choice in suppliers' selection. Smallness of the SMEs may bar them to fully get benefit from big suppliers but studies show that it is still lucrative for them to stay in relation (Gulati, 2003). There seems to be a trade-off between staying in relation and having their independence. As mentioned earlier, losing independence may weaken their potential innovativeness. Within the presented model, the importance of relationship with suppliers is shown in a big circle. This type of network is within the strong tie category.

Interaction with customers will bring about innovation (Ford, 2000). Customers' demands provide best practices in the supply chain (Porter M. , 1990; Harrison, 1996). Firms, who have close ties with customers, develop new products (Lund, 2004). Von Hippel (1978) emphasizes on the role of customer involvement to provide ideas from outside. He differentiates between customer focused approach toward innovation and product approach and argues that the former is more effective (Von Hippel, 1978). So SMEs engage in collaborative innovation projects with lead customers (Smith, 1991). Some empirical studies show that customers' relations are the source of incremental innovation (Biemans, 1991).

Among sources of innovation, firms most often switch to customers' networks (Kaufmann, 2001). Customer participation reduces the risks related to innovation (Ragatz, 1997). By development of technology, the consumers have desire to engage more in the firms processes and value creation called co-creation (Bolton, 2009) which shows the increasing role of customers. Based on literature, the big circle is selected to show the customers' importance and acquired results in the network for SME. This type of tie is strong.

Also, SMEs may expand their network through their strong ties with suppliers and customers and define a new chain of multiplex ties. Each strong tie has its brokerage concept beneath. Having a cooperative relation with customers and suppliers will open a new path for SMEs to broaden their networks and make a new strong tie with a new actor, providing access to resources, information or support.

As discussed before, small firms may have weak ties, based on memorandum of understanding, with knowledge centric institutions or social communities such as universities, R&D centers and NGOs. This type of connection is shown via a thick dotted line to highlight the difference between weak and very weak ties. The linkage between academic centers with SME network in a collaborative learning is related to innovation (Sadler-Smith, 2000). Van de Ven believes knowledge should be produced in cooperation between academic centers and business actors (Van de Ven A. a., 2006).

Rowley et al. (2000) puts the R&D ventures in strong category of ties. It depends on the type of the contract. The importance of academic centers may vary between different industries and the size of the firm. But innovative SMEs, due to the lack of internal R&D, may seek to have relations with this type of network to have more benefit and agility. A medium sized circle is chosen to show that the importance is not the same as suppliers or customers but still is relatively important.

The element of financial institutions and its relationship with SMEs is very crucial. Deficiencies in accessing investment and financial support are one of the major concerns of SMEs. Various sources of finances are available for small firms including equity, venture capital, loans and securities. Managing financial networks will affect the future of the SME. Also, the types of financial resources that are applied have relation with the innovation power of the firm. Venture capital can have effect on radical innovation (Weber, 2007).

Also, studies show that the role of business advisors is notable. They are almost in nearby locations and they are important for achieving goals (Bennett, 2003). Small firms should improve learning capability to use advice more efficiently (Chaston, 1999). The tie with advisors may be strong or weak as shown in the model.

Relatives and friends have strong connections with entrepreneurs driving a small firm. This type of a tie is considered very strong, especially with relatives and close friends. Having entrepreneur family has positive relations with acquiring entrepreneur traits (Kolvereid, 1996). This strong network and role modeling brings about human capital (Coleman, 1988). Strong ties with entrepreneur friends or relatives increase the propensity to start a business (Sequeira, 2007; Davidsson, 2003).

On the other hand, having connection with non-entrepreneurs may impede the chance of starting a new business (Nicolaou, 2003). Some studies show that some elite give access to opportunities to their closest friends (Hartman, 2000). Relatives and friends are one of the many resources for new ideas and connections. Because of the smallness of SMEs their impact is not negligible. Hence this is shown in a relatively middle sized circle near the SME corporate border with very strong tie.

Since SMEs face lack of experience and skill, they should be aware of their entry route toward internationalization (Collinson, 2005). Having an international network will reduce the risk of facing entry barriers (Collinson, 2005; Anderson, 1986; Coveillo, 1995). Networking is a source of knowledge and competitive advantage for SMEs for entering international markets (Fillis, 2001; Rundh, 2001). Hiring talented people having experience in international markets (Mughan, 2004) or family and friends links (Apfelthaler, 2000) are some sources of access to international markets. In this model, it is assumed that SMEs may have access to the international market via strong tie with suppliers, family and friends or via brokerage weak tie. Various types of alliance for penetrating the foreign market include joint venture, licensing and distribution and production agreements (Bierly P.E., 2007). It should be considered that domestic networking is different from international alliances (Sirmon, 2004).

9.4 Brokering

The networking broker has an important role in providing connection between different networks by information or resources transfer and facilitation of interest within different people (Tetan, 2005). Network brokers reduce risks and costs of relationship and support mutually beneficial ties (White, 1996). Also, SMEs can act as a broker for themselves while searching for new opportunities and knowledge.

As mentioned earlier, there are two types of networks: exploration and exploitation. Brokering and exploration act the same way. Exploration may be an in-depth search for resources or broad one (Larusen, 2006). In broad exploration, more organizations are monitored, and in this type of brokering network weak tie, the likelihood of innovation increases (Larusen, 2006). Also, two enter different new and different technological boundaries, brokering via different organizations and industries will be helpful (Tortoreillo, 2010).

By properly managing the weak ties, firms can be the only entity who can act as broker while not letting others know each other (Gilsing, 2008). Studies show that weak ties (in this article: very

weak tie) has its strength and value in the numbers of the ties rather than the interaction (Friedkin, 1982).

To avoid complicating the model, all of the very weak ties are connected through brokering. The border of the broker has been dotted to show that it is a virtual entity and it is based on managerial decision to choose to connect via brokers or self-brokering. Without brokers, SMEs may directly connect to other actors via potentially infinite numbers of very weak ties. The function of brokers and brokering is to fill the gap in structural holes. It seems that the role of brokers in handling and simplifying the complication of numerous networks for SMEs is notable.

As the number of strong ties is limited, the number of weak ties is potentially infinite. Developing brokering competency in SMEs will help them cope with the lack of resources, information and support. As shown in the model, this type of network will enable small firms to get access to the virtual border of competitors, other industries or even in international markets.

In this model a type of very weak tie with media is considered. Media are one of the important sources of innovation and intelligence. Monitoring media or brokering through them will be helpful for getting access to on-time news and information fostering both innovative competency or being proactive in the market.

10. Summary and discussions

This article attempted to show the complex relationship between networking and innovation within the SME context. There are a lot of factors affecting this process and lots of combinations of ties are available in reality. On the other hand, there is evidence that shows some entrepreneurs may start a business without tie. For example, discrimination toward minorities may lead them to start their self-businesses (Aldrich, 1990).

Considering this complexity, four types of networks in a continuum model ranging from very strong ties to very weak ties were categorized. Factors that differentiate between types of networks in this continuum are: level of commitment, rate of redundancy of information, durability of a tie and acquired benefits such as information, resource and support.

Based on the literature review, exploitation networks have positive linkage with level of commitment, rate of redundancy, durability of a tie and gaining support and resources. But exploration networks have positive relation with innovative capacity of the firm. Due to limited resource availability for SMEs, binding ties will be fruitful for accessing resources and support. But neglecting a wide range of diverse ties will restrict the chance for innovation. Structural pattern of networks is a unique resource for the firm (Gulati R., 2000). Entrepreneurial networking will develop competencies (Westlund, 2003). Also, a personal network is something natural rather than a planned process (Dubini, 1991). Networking has two sides: deliberate and subconscious (Aldrich H. a., 1986).

Nonetheless, SMEs, that are facing lack of resources, should develop set of networks' dependencies and manage them to some extent that provides them more benefits, avoiding the loss of innovative competency. Network dependencies are changing from entrepreneurs contacts to some sophisticated networks such as customer and supplier innovation projects or joint development of technologies (Giuliani, 2007; Lechner C. D., 2006).

But the problem for small firms is how to cope with the dilemma of managing the wide variety of ties. This should be done through concentrating on human resources of the firm, restructuring the processes in order to monitor and capture innovative ideas and opportunities. Each competent people acts as a broker for the SME to accumulate, digest and share it with others, enhancing absorptive capacity of the firm. Relying on this engine will commence a positive loop between exploration of information and exploitation of resources which leads to sustainability and growth of the SME.

For better network management, it is necessary to have a picture of different factors affecting the SMEs. Here, a conceptual model based on literature review and the proposed categorization of networks was provided. In this model, a broad depiction of different entities is presented with their relative importance in the design of their mutual networks and their types of connections. As discussed, the role of environmental factors is significant and must meticulously be managed by the SME. The high level of cohesiveness of this type of relations both provides support for SMEs but may hinder their innovative capability. Compulsory high level of commitment may work as an obstacle for the firm and the role of managerial and intra capability is needed to exploit its opportunity and prevent its shortcomings related to the innovation restriction. But, its presence as a cover over the SMEs working space is undoubted.

The results of the scholars' reviews on the SME corporate border were provided and the importance of the in-house organization among the founder(s), management team and personnel was emphasized. In concert with the literature, an in-depth analysis of elements in the SME virtual border is provided and that the strong relationship with the customers and proper selection of the suppliers is a differentiating point for SMEs comparing to large firms. Agility and market connectedness of the SMEs will initiate innovative ideas for them. Also, via strong ties with customers and suppliers, new multiplex ties may emerge so that access to new resources will be realized.

Also, the role of universities and R&D centers which are placed in the category of weak ties are elaborated. Of course, it depends on the type of contract between the firm and R&D centers. But lack of resources for SMEs may act as an obstacle for them to engage in high committed relations with R&D centers. So some sort of memorandum of understanding is proposed to have access to this source of innovative ideas continuously.

The relative importance of very strong ties with relatives and friends for innovative capability and its different facets were reviewed. Also, strong ties with advisors are notable. To improve entrepreneurship and SMEs performance to sustain growth, some countries such as England provide a department of advisors to help them via necessary advices.

The role of brokerage and brokering competency is also another aspect of network management for SMEs. This sort of very weak tie is a source of initiatives and innovative ideas for the firm. Managing these mentioned relationships needs an intra-competency, which means that while the firm concentrates inside, these actors are monitored to form new ties or change the type of the relations.

References

Aidis, R. E. ,2008, "Institutions and entrepreneurship development in Russia: a comparative perspective", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 23(6), 656-72.

- Alderich, H. R., 1989, "Women on the verge of a breakthrough: networking among entrepreneurs in the United States and Italy", *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, 1(4), 339-56.
- Aldrich H., A. E., 1986, "Even dwarfs started small: liabilities of age and size and their strategic implications", *Res Org Beh*, 8, 165-98.
- Aldrich, H. a., 1986, "Entrepreneurship through social neetworks. In D. a. Sexton", *Art and Science of Entrepreneurship* (pp. 3-23). New York: Ballinger Publishing Company.
- Aldrich, H. a., 1990, "Ethnicity and entrepreneurship", *Annual Review of Sociology*, 16(1), 111-35.
- Almeida, P. D., 2003, "Startup size and the mechanisms of external learning: Increasing pportunity and decreasing ability?", *Research Policy*, 32(2), 301-15.
- Amit, R. G., 1993, "Challenges to theory development in entrepreneurship research", *Journal of Management Studies*, 30(5), 816-33.
- Anderson, E. a., 1986, "Modes of foreign entry: a transaction cost analysis and propositions", *Journal of International Business Studies*, 17, 1-26.
- Apfelthaler, G., 2000, "Why small enterprises invest abroad: the case of four austrian firms with US operations", *Journal of Small Business Management*, 38(3), 92-8.
- Arranz, N. a., 2008, "The choice of partners in R&D cooperation: an emprical analysis of Spanish firms", *Technovation*, 28(1-2), 88-100.
- B. Schoonjans, P. V., 2013, "Formal Business Networking and SME Growth", *Small Business Economics*, 41(1), 169-181.
- Barnett, E. a., 2000, "Managers' accounts of innovation processes in small and medium-sized enterprises", *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 7(4), 315-24.
- Baron, R. a., 2009, "Entrepreneurs' social skills and new venture performance: mediating mechanisms and cultural generality", *Journal of Management*, 35(2), 282-306.
- Bartjargal, B. a., 2004, "Entrepreneurs' access to private equity in China: the role of social capital", *Organization Science*, 15(2), 159-72.
- Bell, J. K., 1998, "Editorial: Advances in export marketing theory and practice", *International Marketing Review*, 15(5), 322-32.
- Bennett, R. a., 2003, "The spatial market of business advice and consultancy to SMEs" *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 27(3), 309-36.
- Bergenholtz, C., 2011, "Knowledge brokering: spanning technological and network boundaries" *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 14(1), 74-92.
- BIE., 1995, "*Beyond the Firm: An Assessment of Business Linkages and Networks in Australia*" Canberra: AGPS Canberra, Bureau of Indstry Economics, BIE Research Reports.
- Biemans, W., 1991, "User and third-party involvement in developing medical equipment innovations", *Technovation*, 11, 163-82.
- Bierly P.E., G. S., 2007, "Exploring alliance partner selection: fit, trust and strategic expediency" *Long range planning*, 40, 134-53.
- Bolton, R. S.-I., 2009, "Interactive services: A framework, synthesis and research directions", *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 23(1), 91-104.

- Bos-Brouwers, H., 2009, "Corporate sustainability and innovation in SMEs: evidence of themes and activities in practice", *Business Strategy and the Environment*, www3.interscience.wiley.com.eresources.shef.ac.uk/cgi-bin/fulltext/122464181/PDFSTART.
- Bougrain F., a. H., 2002, "Innovation, Collaboration and SMEs internal research capacities", *Research Policy*, 31(5), 735-747.
- Bougrain, F. a., 2002, "Innovation, collaboration and SMEs internal research capabilities", *Research Policy*, 31(5), 735-47.
- Brown, X., 1998, "Innovation management and contemporary small enterprise research".
- Burt, R., 1992, "*Structural Holes: The Social Structure of Competition*", Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Burt, R., 2005, "*Brokerage and Closure: An Introduction to Social Capital*", Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Caplado, A., 2007, "Network structure and innovation: the leveraging of a dual network as a distinctive relational capability", *strategic Management Journal*, 28, 585-608.
- Casson, M., 1982, "*The entrepreneur, an Economic Theory*", Oxford: Oxford Press.
- Chandler, G. K., 2000, "Unraveling the determinants and consequences of an innovation-supportive organizational culture", *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 25(1), 59-76.
- Chaston, I. B.-S., 1999, "Organizational learning: research issues and applications in SME sector firms. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, 5(4), 19.
- Chesbrough, H., 2003, "*Open innovation: The new imperative for creating and profiting from technology*", Cambridge: Harvard Business School Press.
- Childerhouse, P., 2003, "Simplified material flow holds the key to supply chain integration", *Omega*, 31(1), 17-27.
- Cohen, W. a., 1990, "Absorptive capacity: A new perspective on learning and innovation", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35(1), 128-52.
- Coleman, J., 1988, "Social capital in the creation of human capital *American Journal of Sociology*, 94, s1-S95.
- Collinson, S. a., 2005, "Decision-making and market orientation in the internationalisation process of small and medium-sized enterprises", *Management International Review*, 45(4), 413-36.
- Corbin, J., 1990, "Grounded theory research: Procedures, canons, and evaluateive criteria", *Aualitative Sociology*, 13(1), 3-21.
- Coveillo, N. a., 1995, "Growing the entrepreneurial firm: networking for international marketing development", *European Journal of Marketing*, 29(7), 49-61.
- Das T.K., T. B., 2002, "Alliance constellations: a social exchange perspective", *Academy of Management Review*, 27(33), 445-56.
- Davidsson, P. a., 2003, "The role of social and human capital among nascent entrepreneurs", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 18(3), 301-31.
- De Carolis, D. a., 2006, "Social capital, cognitiion, and entrepreneurial opportunities: a theoretical framework", *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice*, 30(1), 41-56.

- Deakins, D. I., 2007, "Ethnic minority businesses in Scotland and the role of social capital", *International Small Business Journal*, 25(3), 307-26.
- Dean, J. H., 1997, "Understanding Business Networks: Evidence from the Manufacturing and Service Sectors in Australia", *Journal of Small Business Management*, 35(1), 78-84.
- Dekker, H., 2004, "Control of inter-organizational relationships: evidence on appropriation concerns and coordination requirements", *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 29(1), 24-49.
- Dimov, D. a., 2005, "Human capital theory and venture capital firms: exploring home runs and strike outs", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 20, 1-21.
- Dittrich, K. D., 2007, "Strategic repositioning by means of alliance networks: the case of IBM", *Research policy*, 36(10), 1496-511.
- Dobbs, M. a., 2007, "Small business growth: recent evidence and new directions", *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, 13(5), 296-322.
- Dodgson, M. a., 1991, "Technology strategies in small firms", *Journal of General Management*, 17(1), 45-55.
- Drejer, I. a., 2007, "Searching near and far: Determinants of innovative firms' propensity to collaborate across geographical distance", *Industry and innovation*, 14(3), 259-75.
- Dubini, P. a., 1991, "Personnal and extended networks are central to the entrepreneurial process", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 6, 305-13.
- Elfring, T. a., 2007, "Networking by entrepreneurs: Patterns of tie formation in emerging organisations", *Organization Studies*, 28(12), 1849-72.
- Fillis, I., 2001, "Small firm internationalisation: an investigative survey and future research directions", *Management Decision*, 39(9), 767-83.
- Ford, C., 2000, "Creative developments in creativity theory", *Academy of Management Review*, 14, 532-50.
- Forsman, H. a., 2011, "Small Manufacturing and Service Enterprises as Innovators: A Comparison by Size", *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 14, 27-50.
- Fowles, S. a., 2005, "Innovation networks: Good ideas from everywhere in the world", *Strategy and Leadership*, 33(4), 46-50.
- Freel, M., 2005, "The characteristics of innovation-intensive small firms: evidence from Northern Britain", *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 9(4), 401-29.
- Freel, M. a., 2007, "The Community Innovation Survey 4: Profiling Scotland's Innovation Performance", available at: www.scotland.gov.uk/publications/2007.
- Friedkin, N., 1982, "Information flow through strong and weak ties in intraorganizational social networks", *Social Networks*, 3(4), 273-85.
- Gilsing, V. N., 2008, "Network embeddedness and the exploration of novel technologies: technological distance, betweenness centrality and density", *Research Policy*, 37, 1717-32.
- Giuliani, E., 2007, "The selective nature of knowledge networks in clusters: Evidence from the wine industry", *Journal of Economic Geography*, 7(2), 139-68.
- Granovetter, M., 1973, "The strength of weak ties", *The American Journal of Sociology*, 78(6), 1360-80.

- Gray, C., 2006, "Absorptive capacity, knowledge management and innovation in entrepreneurial small firms", *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, 12(6), 345-60.
- Gregory, R. W., 2010, "Design science research and the grounded theory method: Characteristics, differences, and complementary uses", *Proceedings of the 18th European Conference on Information Systems* (p. 7). Pretoria, South Africa: ECIS 2010.
- Griffith, R. R., 2003, "R&D and absorptive capacity: theory and empirical evidence", *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 105(1), 99-1183.
- Gulati R., N. N., 2000, "Strategic networks", *Strategic Management Journal*, 21, 203-15.
- Gulati, R. a., 2003, "Which ties matter when? The contingent effects of interorganizational partnerships on IPO success", *strategic Management Journal*, 24(2), 127.
- Harding, R., 2003, "*Global Entrepreneurship Monitor UK Report 2002*", London: London Business School.
- Harrison, B. K., 1996, "Innovative firm behavior and local milieu: Exploring the intersection of agglomeration, firm effects, and technological change. *Economic Geography*, 72, 233-58.
- Hartman, M., 2000, "Class-specific habitus and the social reproduction of the business elite in Germany and France", *The Sociological Review*, 48(2), 241-61.
- Hoffman, K. P., 1998, "Small firms' R&D, technology and innovation in the UK: a literature review", *Technovation*, 18(1), 39-55.
- Holmund, M. a., 1998, "Relationships and the internationalisation of Finnish small and medium-sized companies", *International Small Business Journal*, 16(64), 46-63.
- Huggins, R., 2010, "Network resources and knowledge alliances: Sociological perspectives on inter-firm networks as innovation facilitators", *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, 30(9). 515-531.
- Hult, G. H., 2004, "Innovativeness: its antecedents and impact on business performance", *Industrial Marketing Management*, 33(5), 429-38.
- J. H. Love, S. R., 2015, "SME innovation, exporting and growth: A review of existing evidence", *International Small Business Journal*, 33(1).
- Jack, S., 2005, "The role, use and activation of strong and weak network ties: a qualitative analysis", *Journal of Management Studies*, 42(6), 1233-59.
- Jarratt, D., 1998, "A Strategic Classification of Business Alliances: A Qualitative Perspective Built from a Study of Small and Medium-sized Enterprises" *Qualitative Market Research*, 1(1), 39-49.
- Jennings, P. a., 1997, "The Performance and Competitive Advantage of Small Firms: A Management Perspective", *International Small Business Journal*, 15(2), 63-75.
- Johannisson, B., 1986, "Network Strategies: Management Technology for Entrepreneurship and Change", *International Small Business Journal*, 5(8), 19-30.
- Johnson, B., 1990, "Toward a multidimensional model of entrepreneurship: the case of achievement motivation and the entrepreneur", *Entrepreneurship theory and practice*, 39-54.
- Jung, D. I., 2003, "The Role of Transformational Leadership in Enhancing Organizational Innovation: Hypotheses and some Preliminary Findings", *Leadership Quarterly*, 14(4), 525-44.

- Jutla, D. B., 2002, "Supporting the e-business readiness of small and medium-sized enterprises: approaches and metrics", *Internet Research: Electronic Networking Applications and Policy*, 12 No.2, 139-64.
- Kastelle, T. a., 2010, "Are Small World Networks Always Best for Innovation", *Innovation: Management, Policy & Practice*, 12(1), 75-87.
- Kaufmann, A. a., 2001, "Science industry interaction in the process of innovation: the importance of boundary-crossing between systems", *Research Policy*, 30, 791-804.
- Kannan, V., 2005, "Just in time, total quality management, and supply chain management: understanding their linkages and impact on business performance", *Omega*, 33(2), 153-162.
- Kolvereid, L., 1996, "Prediction of employment status choice intentions", *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice*, 21(1), 47-57.
- Koschatzky, K., 1999, "Innovation Networks of Industry and Business-Related Services - Relations Between Innovation Intensity of Firms and Regional Inter-Firm Cooperation", *European Planning Studies*, 7(6), 737-57.
- Kotabe M., a. S., 1995, "The Role of Strategic Alliances in High-Technology New Product Development", *Strategic Management Journal*, 16(8), 621-636.
- Krackhardt, D., 1992, "The Strength of Strong Ties: The Importance of Philo in Organizations. In A. R. N. Nohria (Ed.)", *Networks and Organizations: Structure, Form, and action* (pp. 216-39). Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Kraft, K., 1989, "Market structure, firm characteristics and innovative activity. *The Journal of Industrial Economics*, 37(3), 329-36.
- Kristiansen, S. a., 2002, "Enacting their business environments: Asian entrepreneurs in Eas Africa. *African and Asian Studies*, 1(3), 165.
- Kuratko, D. a., 1995, "*Entrepreneurship: A Contemporary Approach*", Fort Worth, TX: Thd Dryden Press.
- Laforet, S., 2009, "Effects of size, market and strategic orientation on innovation", *European Journal of Marketing*, 43, 188-212.
- Laforet, S., 2011, "A framework of organisational innovation and outcomes in SMEs", *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, 17(4), 380-408.
- Laforet, S. a., 2006, "Innovative characteristics of small manufacturing firms", *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 13(3), 363-80.
- Larusen, K. a., 2006, "Open for innovation: the role of openness in explaining innovation performance among UK manufacturing firms", *Strategic Management Jornal*, 27(2), 131-50.
- Lasgani, A., 2012, "How Can External Relationships Enhance Innovation in SMEs? New Evidence for Europe", *Journal of Small Business Management*, 50, 310-39.
- Lechner, C. a., 2003, "Firm networks: External relationships as sources for the growth and competitiveness of entrepreneurial firms", *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, 15(1), 1-26.
- Lechner, C. D., 2006, "Firm networks and firm development: The role of the relational mix", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 21(4), 514-40.
- Lin, N., 2001, "*Social Capital: A Theory of Social Structure and Action*", Cambridge, New York: Cambridge University Press.

- Littunen, H. a., 2009, "Differentiating factors of venture growth: from statics to dynamics", *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, 15(6), 535-54.
- Low, D. C., 2007, "Inter-relationships between innovation and market orientation in SMEs", *Management Research News*, 30(12), 878-91.
- Lowik, S. D., 2012, "Strong Ties as Sources of New Knowledge: How Small Firms Innovate through Bridging Capabilities", *Journal of Small Business Management*, 50, 239-56.
- Lund, R., 2004, "The managed interaction between innovation and learning and a complementary perspective", In J. C. Lundvall, *Product innovation, ininteractive learning and economic performance* (pp. 69-98). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- M. D. Parrilli, M. J., 2010, "The Role of Interactive Learning to Close the "Innovation Gap" in SME-Based Local Economies: A Furniture Cluster in the Basque Country and its Key Policy Implications", *European Planning Studies*, 18(3), 351-370.
- March, J., 1991, "Exploration and exploitation in organizational learning", *Organizational Science*, 2, 101-23.
- Mazzarol, T. R., 2008, "The Role of Complimentary Actors in the Development of Innovation in Small Firms", *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 12(2), 1-31.
- McAdam, R. M., 2004, "Barriers to innovation within small firms in a peripheral location", *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, 10(3), 206-21.
- McGrath, R. T.-H., 1996, "Innovation, Competitive Advantage and Rent: A Model and Test" *Management Science*, 42, 389-403.
- Mohannak, K., 2007, Innovation Networks and Capability Building in the Australian High-Technology SMEs", *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 10(2), 236-51.
- Motwani, J. D., 1999, "Managing innovation in French small and medium-sized enterprises", *Journal of Small Business Management*, 37(2), 106-14.
- Mughan, T. L.-R., 2004, "Management consulting and international business support for SMEs: need and obstacles", *Education+Training*, 46(8/9), 424-32.
- Nicolaou, N. a., 2003, "Social networks in organizational emergence: the university spinout phenomenon", *Management Science*, 49(12), 1702-25.
- Nootboom, B., 1994, "Innovation and Diffusion in Small Firms: Theory and Evidence", *Small Business Economics*, 6(5), 327-47.
- North, D. a., 2000, "Innovative activity in SMEs and rural economic development: some evidence from England", *European Planning Studies*, 8(1), 87-106.
- North, D. a., 2000, The Innovativeness and Growth of Rural SMEs During The 1990s, *Regional Studies*, 34(2), 145-157.
- Oakey, R. a., 1999, "United Kingdom High-Technology Small Firms in Theory and Practice: A Review of Recent Trends", *International Small Business Journal*, 17(1), 48-64.
- OECD., 1993, *Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises: Technology and Competitiveness*, Paris: Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development.
- OECD., 2001, *Oslo Manual: The Measurement of Scientific and Technological Activities: Proposed Guidelines for Collecting and Interpreting Technological Innovation Data*, Oslo: Organization of Economic Co-operation and Development, European Union, Eurostat.

- OECD., 2004, "SME Statistics: Towards a more systematic statistical measurement of SME behaviour", *Promoting Entrepreneurship and Innovative SMEs in a Global Economy*. Istanbul, Turkey 3-5 June: Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris.
- O'Regan, N. a., 2005, "Innovation in SMEs: the impact of strategic orientation and environmental perceptions", *International Journal of Productivity and performance Management*, 54(2), 81-97.
- Perry, T., 1995, "How Small Firms Innovate: Designing a Culture for Creativity", *Research Technology Management*, 38(2), 14-20.
- Peters, T., 1987, "Thriving on choas", London: Macmillan.
- Phillips, W. L., 2006, "Discontinuous innovation and supply relationships: Strategic alliances", *R&D Management*, 6(4), 451-61.
- Poon, S. a., 1999, "An exploratory study of small business internet commerce issues", *Information and Management*, 35 No.1, 9-18.
- Porter, M., 1990, "The competitive advantages of nations", New York: Free Press.
- Porter, M. E., 1999, "The New Challenge to America's Prosperity: Finding from the Innovation Index", Washington D.C.: Council on Competitiveness.
- Portes, A. a., 1993, "Embeddedness and immigration: notes on the social determinants of economic action", *The American Journal of Sociology*, 98(6), 1320-50.
- Powell, W. a., 2005, "Networks of innovators", In J. M. Fagerberg, *The Oxford Handbook of Innovation* (pp. 56-85). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Puffer, S. a., 2007, "Can Russia's state-managed, network capitalism be competitive?: Institutional pull versus institutional push", *Journal of World business*, 42(1), 1-13.
- Ragatz, G. H., 1997, "Success factors for integrating suppliers into new product development", *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 14, 190-202.
- Ray, D., 1993, "Understanding the entrepreneur: entrepreneurial attributes, experience and skills", *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, 5, 345-57.
- Rowley T., B. D., 2000, "Redundant governance structures: an analysis of structural and relational embeddedness in the steel and semiconductor industries", *Strategic Management Journal*, 21, 369-86.
- Ruef, M., 2002, "Strong ties, weak ties and islands: structural and cultural predictors of organizational innovation", *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 11(3), 427-49.
- Rundh, B., 2001, "International market development: new patterns in SMEs international; market behaviour?", *Market Intelligence & Planning*, 19(5), 319-29.
- S. Gronum, M. L., 2012, "The Role of Networks in Small and Medium-Sized Enterprise Innovation and Firm Performance", *Journal of Small Business Management*, 50(2), 257-282.
- S. Konsti-Laakso, T. P., 2012, "Facilitating SME Innovation Capability through Business Networking", *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 21(1), 93-105.
- Sadler-Smith, E. G., 2000, "Using collaborative learning to develop small firms", *Human Resource Development*, 3(3), 285-306.
- Salavou, H. B., 2004, "Organizational innovation in SMEs: the importance of strategic orientation and competitive structure", *European Journal of Marketing*, 38(9), 1091-112.

- Schiele, H., 2006, "How to distinguish innovative suppliers? identifying innovative suppliers as new task for purchasing", *industrial marketing management*, 35(8), 925-35.
- Schin, J. a., 1998, "Top executive management style and organizational innovation: An investigation of nonprofit human service organizations", *Social Work Administration*, 22(3), 1-21.
- Schumpeter, J. A., 1967, *"The theory of Economic Development"*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Scott, P. J., 1996, "Enhancing technology and skills in small and medium-sized manufacturing firms: problems and prospects", *International Small Business Journal*, 14(3), 85-97.
- Sequeira, J. M., 2007, "The influence of social ties and self-efficacy in forming entrepreneurial intentions and motivating nascent behavior", *Journal of Developmental Entrepreneurship*, 12(3), 275-93.
- Siano, A. Z., 2014, "Internationalization and Innovation as Resources for SME Growth in Foreign Markets", *International Studies of Management & Organization*, 44(1), 21-41.
- Simpson, P. S., 2006, "Innovation orientation outcomes: the good and the bad", *Journal of Business Research*, 59, 1133-41.
- Siqueira, C. a., 2008, "Effects of product innovation and organizational capabilities on competitive advantage: evidence from UK small and medium- sized manufacturing enterprises", *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 12(2), 113-37.
- Sirmon, D. L., 2004, "A model of cultural differences and international alliance performance", *Journal of International Business studies*, 35, 306-19.
- Sivadas, E. a., 2000, "An examination of organizational factors influencing new product success in internal and alliance-based processes", *Journal of Marketing*, 64(1), 31-49.
- Smith, H. D., 1991, "There are two sides to every story: Innovation and collaboration within networks of large and small firms", *Research policy*, 20, 457-68.
- Sorenson, O. R., 2006, "Complexity, networks and knowledge flow", *Research Policy*, 35, 994-1017.
- Stinchcombe, A. L., 1965, "Social structure and the founding of organizations", In M. J. G., *Handbook of Organizations* (pp. 153-93). Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Storey, D., 1994, *"Understanding the small business sector"*, London: Routledge.
- Sullivan, D. a., 2011, "How Entrepreneurs' Knowledge and Network Ties Relate to the Number of Employees in New SMEs", *Journal of Small Business Management*, 49(2), 185-206.
- Swan, J. a., 2005, "The politics of networked innovation", *Human Relations*, 58(7), 913-43.
- Tan, K. L., 2001, "Heuristic methods for vehicle routing problem with time windows", *Artificial Intelligence in Engineering*, 15(3), 281-295.
- Tetan, D. a., 2005, *"Virtual handshake: Opening doors and closing deals online"*, New York: Amacom.
- Timmons, J., 1998, *"America's Entrepreneurial Revolution: The Demise of Brontosaurus Capitalism"*, F.W. Olin Graduate School of Business, Babson College.
- Tomlinson, P. R., 2013, "The nature of SME co-operation and innovation: A multi-scalar and multi-dimensional analysis", *International Journal of Production Economics*, 141(1), 316-326.

- Tortoreillo, M. a., 2010, "Activating cross-boundary knowledge: the role of simmelian ties in the generation of innovations", *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(1), 167-81.
- Tsai, K., 2005, "Capitalists without a class: political diversity among private entrepreneurs in China", *Comparative Political Studies*, 38(9), 1130-58.
- Tuckman, A., 1995, "Ideology, quality and TQM", In A. a. Wilkinison, *Making Quality New Perspectives on Organizational Change* (pp. 54-81). New York and London: Routledge.
- Tushman, M. a., 1986, "Organizing for Innovation" *California Management Review*, 28(3), 74-92.
- Utterback, J., 1994, *Mastering the Dynamics of Innovation*, Boston, MA: Harvard University Business School Press.
- Valry, N., 1999, "Innovation in industry", *The Economist*, 20 February, Survey Insert.
- Van de Ven, A., 1986, "Central Problems in the Management of Innovation", *Management Science*, 32, 509-607.
- Van de Ven, A. a., 2006, "Knowledge for theory and practice", *Academy of Management Review*, 6(3), 802-21.
- Van de Ven, H., 1993, "The Development of an Infrastructure for Entrepreneurship", *Journal of Business Venturing*, 8, 211-30.
- Von Hippel, E., 1978, "Successful industrial products form customer ideas", *Journal of Marketing*, 42(1), 39-49.
- Waarts, E. a., 2005, "The Influence of National Culture on the Adaptation Status of Innovations: An Empirical Study of Firms Across Europe", *European Management Journal*, 23(6), 601-10.
- Weber, B. a., 2007, "Corporate venture capital as a means of radical innovation: relational fit, social capital, and knowledge transfer", *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 24(1), 2-35.
- Westlund, H. a., 2003, "Local social capital and entrepreneurship", *Small Business Economics*, 21, 77-113.
- Westphal, J. G., 1997, "Customization or conformity? An institutional and network perspective on the content and consequences of TQM adoption", *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 42, 366-94.
- White, J. G., 1996, "Facilitating cooperative networks of high-technology small firms: problems and strategies", *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 3(1), 31-47.
- Williamson, O., 1983, "Credible commitments: using hostages to support exchange", *American Economic Review*, 73(4), 519.
- Wynarczyk, P. W., 1993, *The managerial labour market in small and medium-sized enterprises*, London: Routledge.
- Xu, Z., Lin, J., Lin, D., 2008, "Networking and innovation in SMEs: evidence from Guangdong Province, China", *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*. 15(4), 788-801.
- Zahra, S. I., 2000, "International expansion by new venture firms: internaional diversity, mode of market entry, technological learning, and performance", *The Academy of Management Journal*, 43(5), 925-50.